SINGLE BENCH

(8)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION [S] NO. 7217 /2006

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT(S) /ORDER(S) /DIRECTION(S) ETC.

PETITIONER :- Smt. Sunita Malakar W/o Shri Arkhit Malakar, aged about 25 years, R/o village Haradi, Post- Madawa, Tahsil- Dabhara, District – Janjgir-Champa [C.G.]

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1] State of Chhattisgarh, through – Secretary, Mahila Avam Bal Vikas Vibhag [Department of Women and child Welfare] D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur [C.G.].

2] Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Dhabhara, District Janjgir-Champa [C.G.].

3] Bhola Ram Paatel, S/o Shri Birbal Patel, Sarpanch Gram Panchayat, Haradi

4] Neela Bai @Leelabai W/o Bhuwan Lal, aged about 30 years,

5] Jagmati W/o Rupsai, aged about 28 years.

6] Savitri W/o Bhagat Singh

Respondent no. 3 to 6 are resident of village Haradi, Post Madawa, Tahsil- Dhabhara, District Janjgir-Champa [C.G.].

7] Gram Panchayat- Haradi, through Sarpanch, Village- Haradi, Post Madawa, Tahsil Dabhara, District Janjgir-Champa [C.G.].

P.R. No. 7320/06
Presented by Shri S.K. Tiwari
dated 13/12/06

RECEIVED-COPY
13/12/06
C.G. H.C. A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG/073/96
12/12/12
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

(47)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P. No. 747/.. **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

02.01.2007

Shri S.K.Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, learned G.A. for respondent No.1.

Heard.

The petitioner by the instant petition has challenged the order dated 04.09.2006 passed by the respondent No. 2/Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Dabhara whereby respondent No. 4 has been appointed as Angan Badi Karyakarta in the Anganbadi Kendra, Haradi.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the appointment of respondent No. 4 is contrary to the circular issued by the State in this regard from time to time and the respondent No.2/ Chief Executive Officer could not have appointed respondent No.4 as she was not eligible for the appointment being relative of the respondent No.3 - Sarpanch. He further submits that irregularity in the appointment was brought to the notice of the Chief Executive Officer by way of representation vide Annexure P-7. However respondent No.2 has not enquired into the allegations made by the petitioner vide Annexure P-7 and therefore he has filed this instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

I have perused the documents annexed with the petition. Considering the grievance raised by the petitioner in the instant petition against the appointment of respondent No.4 as Angan Badi Karyakarta of Angan Badi Kendra Hardi this Court is of the considered opinion that ends of justice will be served if the instant petition is

P.T.O.

(48)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P.(C).7217/. **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make representation against the appointment of respondent No.4 before respondent No.2 within 15 days from today. After receipt of representation, the respondent No.2 shall enquire into the grievances raised by the petitioner against the appointment of respondent No.4 after affording opportunity of hearing to the respondent No.4 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a further period of 90 days from the receipt of such representation. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	

P.T.O.

8/3/07